



PATENT APPLICATION
DOCKET NO. 21955

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT:	Cox et al.	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8
SERIAL NO.:	10/690,219	I hereby certify under 37 CFR § 1.8 that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class postage in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment/Response Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated below. <u>Judy Anderson</u> <u>2/9/2006</u> Date of Deposit
FILED:	October 20, 2003	
FOR:	SCRUBBING SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR COAL FIRED COMBUSTION UNITS	
ART UNIT:	1764	
EXAMINER:	Duong, Thanh P	
DOCKET NO.:	21955	

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed November 19, 2005, please reconsider the application in light of the accompanying remarks. A petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a) and the required fee of \$60 under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1) for a one month extension in which to file this response is enclosed.

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Examiner Thang Duong, Examiner Jerry Lorengo, Craig Cox, Mark Hennenfent, and Erik Ericksen were in attendance at an in-person interview held on February 3, 2006. Applicant presented information regarding PC and CFB operations and conventional meanings of wet scrubber and related technology. The Doyle, Alix and Weitzke references were distinguished primarily based on the lack of teaching of CFB in Doyle and Alix and the lack of teaching of wet scrubbers in Weitzke. The Examiners suggested that an amendment to clarify that the wet scrubber is a desulphurization unit would appear to distinguish the Weitzke reference. Applicant agreed to submit such amendment with the next response.